



E1529

JACC March 27, 2012

Volume 59, Issue 13



Chronic CAD/Stable Ischemic Heart Disease

DETAILED INVESTIGATION OF VASCULAR RESPONSE BETWEEN PATIENTS WITH OR WITHOUT DIABETES MELLITUS AFTER EVEROLIMUS-ELUTING STENT IMPLANTATION; OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY STUDY

ACC Moderated Poster Contributions
McCormick Place South, Hall A
Monday, March 26, 2012, 11:00 a.m.-Noon

Session Title: DES and Drugs: Decisions in Diabetics
Abstract Category: 3. Chronic CAD/Stable Ischemic Heart Disease: Therapy
Presentation Number: 1209-471

Authors: *Masamichi Iwasaki, Hiromasa Otake, Toshiro Shinke, Junya Shite, Ken-ichi Hirata, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan*

Background: There is a theoretical concern about reduced efficacy of limus-drug for the treatment of diabetics.

Methods: From the Kobe University OCT data base, 72 lesions (DM: n=27, non-DM: n=45) in 55 patients treated with everolimus-eluting stent (EES) that underwent 8-month follow-up OCT were enrolled. In addition to standard variables, variability of neointima thickness (NIT) was evaluated by standard deviation of NIT computed from 360 equally-spaced radial sectors along the entire segment. Additionally, the incidence of struts with peri-strut low intensity area (%PLIA), suggestive of fibrin deposition or impaired neointima maturation, was calculated.

Results: Despite a greater asymmetric stent expansion in DM (Minimum stent expansion index: DM 0.78 ± 0.06 , non DM 0.82 ± 0.06 ; $p=0.041$), DM showed comparable average NIT (DM: $114 \pm 85 \mu\text{m}$, non DM: $99 \pm 49 \mu\text{m}$; $p=0.35$) and % uncovered struts (DM: 1.80 ± 4.29 , non DM: 1.88 ± 5.96 ; $p=0.50$) to non-DM. The variability of neointima distribution did not differ between the two groups, suggesting comparably uniform neointimal suppression by EES irrespective of DM (DM: 0.076 ± 0.052 , non DM: 0.062 ± 0.031 ; $p=0.14$). The prevalence of %PLIA didn't differ between the groups (DM: $3.1 \pm 4.7\%$, non DM: $1.2 \pm 1.7\%$; $p=0.39$).

Conclusions: Although a greater asymmetric stent expansion was observed in DM, EES provided similar neointima suppression regardless of DM.

OCT measurement and analysis

Variable	DM (n=27)	Non DM (n=45)	P value
Average number of struts (n)	221.9 \pm 95.3	200.3 \pm 91.7	0.34
% of malapposed stent struts	1.15 \pm 3.71	0.50 \pm 1.31	0.28
% of uncovered stent struts	1.8 \pm 4.3	1.9 \pm 6.0	0.95
Average neointimal thickness (μm)	0.11 \pm 0.09	0.09 \pm 0.05	0.35
Neointima variability score	0.08 \pm 0.05	0.06 \pm 0.03	0.14
Minimum stent expansion index	0.78 \pm 0.06	0.82 \pm 0.06	0.04
% peri-strut low intensity area	3.1 \pm 4.7	1.2 \pm 1.7	0.39
Presence of RUST30	2	4	>0.99
%CS of RUS30	0.06 \pm 0.13	0.04 \pm 0.12	0.47